Correspondent Details

Title	[redacted]
First Name	[redacted]
Last Name	[redacted]
Email Address	[redacted]

Information requested details

Case Title

Bicester MOD Site A

Enquiry Description

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a resident of [redacted] to oppose the use of the urgent planning process for the proposal to accommodate up to 1,250 single adult male asylum seekers at Site A, MOD Bicester. On 9 July, my MP, Calum Miller, was informed by a Defence Minister that the Home Office first approached the MOD about the use of this site in November 2025. You will be aware that the use of the site for such a huge asylum camp is very controversial – and widely opposed – locally. An asylum centre on the Bicester MOD site was rejected some years ago after a cost of circa 15 million pounds, a waste of taxpayers money. The Government cannot consider it for nine months and then give local residents a matter of days to contribute to a consultation. The Prime Minister says that he wants to listen to the british public and I respectfully request that the following concerns are considered:

A risk assessment carried out on the implications of housing 1,250 mostly male (illegal immigrants) until otherwise proven to be genuine asylum seekers.
Allowing for an open environment when we don't know their background, potential terrorists,criminals or already ex-criminals. The number of sex assaults already experienced with the government not releasing the data on the number of sex assaults carried out by migrants. It's obvious why!! Can you guarantee the safety of Bicester's residents; especially females?
Consider how it has changed the life of residents in Braintree as an example
The cost of developing site A far outweighs the cost of hotels. The government has been keen to publicise that over 200 hotels housing migrants has been closed down since last year, albeit it's all "smoke and mirrors" as they just are being moved elsewhere and in larger

numbers together in one location impacting the local areas far more than fewer numbers across multiple accommodations.
Please consider the effect this will have on the residents of Bicester and surrounding villages, British tax paying people who currently are not prioritised over illegal migrants.

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]

[redacted]

Received Date

2 September 2026